UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,788	01/17/2006	Gerd Hummel	2918-111	3658
6449 75: ROTHWELL, FIG	90 04/25/2007 GG, ERNST & MANBE	EXAMINER		
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			NIEBAUER, RONALD T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE NOTIFICATION DATE D			DELIVER	Y MODE
31 DAY	YS	04/25/2007	FLECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 04/25/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 62-80, 82, 102-106, drawn to a cyclic compound (X1-X7)/composition.

Group II, claim(s) 85-101, drawn to a non-cyclic compound (X1-X8).

Group III, claim(s) 81, drawn to a 4 component compound of which components are not amino acids.

Group IV, claim(s) 83-84, drawn to a 4 component compound of which components are amino acids.

Group V, claim(s) 107-122, drawn to use of a compound as a medicament.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: PCT Rule 13.2 defines "special technical features" as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." Claim 62 is drawn to a compound of formula I. March et al. (as disclosed in IDS) teach this compound. For example, the compound is described on page 875

Application/Control Number: 10/564,788

Art Unit: 1609

(table 4 number 57). The residues meet the requirements of X1-X7 as described. Note the similarity of compound number 57 of March et al. and compound 187 of claim 105 of the application under examination. Also note that compound 172 of the application under examination shows that a Phe residue is acceptable at position X6 (i.e. mimic tryptophan). The applicants specification (page 4) states that nearly all previously described C5a binding compounds have an arginine at the C-terminus. March et al. (Table 3 and 4) teach C5a binding compounds with various residues at the C-terminus, including the Phe of compound number 57. Since compound 57 of March et al. meets the limitations of claim 62 the claimed invention makes no contribution over the prior art, consequently there is no special technical feature uniting all of the claimed inventions.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

If Group I, II, III, IV or V is elected, species election is necessary for:

Compound: a single unique compound from the many possibilities listed should be identified such that all variable groups (X,Y,Z, A-G, etc.) are defined (applies to all claims).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,788

Art Unit: 1609

If Group I or II is elected, further species election is necessary for:

<u>Chemical bond</u>: a chemical bond group and type should be identified from the possibilities listed in claims 65 and 85.

If Group V is elected, further species election is necessary for:

Condition treated/supported: a single condition should be identified from the possibilities listed in claims 110, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122.

Note: If a condition from claim 110 is elected then a further embodiment should be identified from groups in claim 111-115 as appropriate.

Note: If the condition from claim 118 is elected then a further embodiment should be identified from the subgroup listed in claim 118.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,788

Art Unit: 1609

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

The appropriate claim is listed next to the species above.

The following claim(s) are generic: 62 to the species of claims 63-80,82,102-105; 85 to the species of claim 86-101; 107 to the species of claim 108-115, 117-122.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: PCT Rule 13.2 defines "special technical features" as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." Claim 62 is drawn to a compound of formula I. March et al. (as disclosed in IDS) teach this compound. For example, the compound is described on page 875 (table 4 number 57). The residues meet the requirements of X1-X7 as described. Note the similarity of compound number 57 of March et al. and compound 187 of claim 105 of the application under examination. Also note that compound 172 of the application under examination shows that a Phe residue is acceptable at position X6 (i.e. mimic tryptophan). The applicants specification (page 4) states that nearly all previously described C5a binding compounds have an arginine at the C-terminus. March et al. (Table 3 and 4) teach C5a binding compounds with various residues at the C-terminus, including the Phe of compound number 57. Since compound 57 of March et al. meets the limitations of claim 62 the claimed invention makes no contribution over the prior art, consequently there is no special technical feature uniting all of the claimed inventions.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald T. Niebauer whose telephone number is 571-270-3059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-5:00pm, alt. Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Mosher can be reached on 571-272-0906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZACHARIAH LUCAS PATENT EXAMINER